Current Comments

Introducing Index to Book Reviews in the Sciences

Number 39

September 24, 1979

In 1980, approximately 15,000 new books related to science and medicine will be published in the English language. *Books in Print* lists about 67,000 books in science and technology and expects to add about 9,500 entries in 1980.

Another way of looking at the volume of science books is to examine the number of new books cataloged by the Library of Congress (LC). In 1978, LC cataloged 19,400 books in science and medicine.

Yet another view of science book publishing is obtained through the *Sci*ence Citation Index^(*) (*SCI*^(*)). In 1978, we processed about 7 million citations in over 600,000 articles and book chapters. Of these, about 1 million were socalled non-journal citations. Many of these items were not to books, but they were to technical reports, patents, etc. However, we can estimate that at least 240,000 unique book titles were cited.

When the SCI first appeared in the early 1960s it tried to be all things to all readers. In an effort to be comprehensive in our treatment of journals, we gave book reviews the same priority as journal articles. We even created a pseudo-citation for each book that was reviewed. In the years 1964 to 1967 we processed 98,962 science book reviews! However, at the peak of the information explosion, subscribers to the SCI felt we should give a higher priority to certain as yet uncovered journals. In 1967 we covered about 1,700 journals. Today we cover over 3,000. I have often regretted our decision to eliminate book reviews from the SCI. But publishing indexes often involves compromises between the ideal and the practical.

When we launched the Social Sciences Citation Index $^{\text{TM}}$ (SSCI $^{\text{TM}}$) in 1973, we again faced a decision on whether to include book reviews. Unlike the sciences, the monograph is the dominant form of publication in the social sciences. The SSCI now covers 1966 to date. For those years alone, we have indexed 370,000 book reviews!

Book reviews are becoming increasingly important in the sciences. There are now probably more than 50,000 science book reviews published each year. In the less affluent days before World War II, it was traditional for librarians to rely heavily on book reviews when trying to decide whether or not to buy a book. During the 50s and affluent 60s, less reliance was placed on book reviews. By 1975, however, a joint study by the Association of American Publishers/American Library Association had this to say, "Publishers and librarians agree that book reviews influence library selection more than any other factor."1 Librarians and others we recently consulted concurred that reviews are very useful sources of information. The recent decreases in library book budgets have made book reviews particularly relevant. Professor Ching-Chih Chen of Simmons College points out that "widespread budgetary cutbacks concomitant

with an information explosion make judicious, critical and systematic book selection more necessary than ever. Thus book reviews prepared by subject specialists are more important than before."²

While there are many useful guides to book reviewing in other fields, the sciences are still inadequately covered. According to Chen. "The bibliographic control of biomedical, scientific and technical book reviews deserves serious consideration. Compared to the bibliographic control afforded books and journal articles, the indexing coverage of book reviews is very underdeveloped."² Arthur P. Young of the Graduate School of Library Science. University of Illinois, agrees, "...Indexing coverage of reviews is still inadequate and expanded coverage for the sciences is desirable."3

One librarian, Allen Wynne of the University of Colorado Mathematics-Physics Library, recently found the need for access to physics book reviews so great that he developed his own computer-based physics book review index!⁴ And at the University of Louisville School of Medicine, Ruth Atwood, director of information services at the Kornhauser Memorial Medical Library, produced an experimental index to medical book reviews.⁵

Consequently, in January 1980, ISI[®] will launch its *Index to Book Reviews in the Sciences*TM (*IBRS*TM). *IBRS* will be a multidisciplinary index covering reviews of books on topics ranging from chemistry, physics, life sciences (including some psychology) and medicine to engineering and applied sciences.

We expect to cover more than 35,000 book reviews in the 1980 *IBRS*. The reviews will be found mainly in the journals covered by the *SCI*, which will cover more than 3,000 journals next year. However, 1,000 journals will be the main producers of book reviews with *Science*, *Nature*, and others leading the list as expected. We will also cover relevant reviews from publications like Science News and the New York Times Book Review section which are not indexed in the SCI.

There are of course many well known book review indexes. The Book Review Index published by Gale Research Co., Detroit, Michigan and Book Review Digest published by H.W. Wilson Co., New York are two of the most commonly used. Book Review Index covers about 325 periodicals. Of these about half a dozen can be classified as scientific. Perhaps 1,000 of the reviews indexed are in the sciences. Book Review Digest covers about 70 journals but few are in the sciences. Current Book Review Citations, a recent H.W. Wilson product, covers about 1,200 journals each vear. Only about 180 of these journals are in the natural and physical sciences. We estimate that about 3% of the 150,000 book reviews they say they cover each year are devoted to the "hard" sciences. Wilson's General Science Inder covers about 2.000 book reviews in the sciences each year.

Technical Book Review Index is a monthly cooperative venture of the JAAD Publishing Company, Carnegie Library, Pittsburgh, and University of Pittsburgh Health Professional Library. It is very selective. About 1,200 books are covered each year from agriculture, medicine, and technology. However, excerpts from the reviews accompany each entry. Furthermore, there is a lag time of six to nine months between the time a review is published and the time it is indexed.

This last point is critical if a book review index is to be used for acquisition purposes. ISI's *IBRS* will reduce the time lag to an absolute minimum. Reviews will be indexed as soon as the journals are processed for *Current Contents®* and the *SCI*. In fact, the only significant timing element is the frequency of publication. *IBRS* will appear monthly for ten months of the year. Two semi-annual cumulations will be issued in July and January. They will include information from June and December which will not appear in monthly issues.

Of course there is one problem with indexing book reviews that we cannot solve simply by producing an index promptly. Science publishers know only too well that most science book reviews appear long after the book has been published. It is not unusual for science book reviews to appear one or two years after publication.⁶ Chen reported an unusual case of a review of a book that appeared nine years after the book was off the press.⁷

There is little hope that science book reviews will appear promptly, as is the case with most trade books, until reviewers are compensated for their efforts. However, journal editors could make a concerted effort to publish reviews earlier by using reviewers who are less well known and willing to give reviews a higher priority. Only after we have published *IBRS* for a while will we know how many are reviewed and how often and how soon. We may also find out how many are never reviewed.

IBRS will index book reviews in two ways—by author and by subject. Since the main use of *IBRS* is selective acquisition, the author of a book is the key element of information. All authors of a book appear in the index. You can find the book even if you only remember the name of a secondary author. Secondary authors are listed with a "see" cross-reference to the first author. Initially we will not index the names of the reviewers themselves. This in fact was the way book reviews were indexed in the *SCI*. As *IBRS* gains acceptance, an index of reviewers may be added.

Typical entries from the author index are shown in Figure 1. Authors' names will appear in boldface capital letters. Secondary authors' names are separated by a slash. The title of the book follows on a separate indented line or lines as required. If the book is part of a series, series data will be listed below the book title. Then the name of the publisher, place and year of publication, book price, number of chapters and pages, when given in the review, will be shown.

The most crucial information is the citations of the individual reviews. Indented under the author-title entry will be the journal, volume, page, and year, followed by the reviewer. Language codes will be used in *IBRS* to tell the language of the book and the language of the review. Thus you can discern that a book in German is reviewed in French.

The rationale for an author index is fairly obvious. For example, if you see an ad for a new book, you can use the author's name to find reviews. I might add, however, that a very practical use of *IBRS* will be made by publishers and authors who have an obvious interest in knowing where all reviews of their books may be found.

There is a less obvious need for a subject approach to science book reviews. Suppose that you recall seeing an ad for a book, but you can't quite recall the full title or author's name. You could still find the review you need because *IBRS* has a permuted title index. This Permuterm[®] Subject Index (PSI) is composed of significant words in the titles of books reviewed. Thus, the PSI will act as a book title index as well as a subject index. Using the PSI, you can find the book review by looking up a title word. See Figure 2.

Another important use of PSI will be by librarians who want to follow certain subjects on a regular basis. While librarians have methods such as LC cataloging to keep up with new books by subject, the books will not necessarily have been reviewed by competent authorities.

My initial impression is that science book reviewing is rather chaotic and that science needs an equivalent to the London *Times Literary Supplement*. I am now investigating the possibilities of such a comprehensive new journal.

IBRS will give librarians quick and easy access to the book review literature in the sciences. It will also help them Figure 1: Some sample entries from ISI's Index to Book Reviews in the Sciences TM author index.

| Author or Editor | -CHANG, TM | |
|---|--|---|
| | BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS OF IMMOBILIZED ENZYMES AND PROTEINS Plenum, New York, NY 1977 \$47.40 359pp. J CHROMATOGR 146(12).83 1978 Rev by TURKOVA, J PHARM J221(111): 100 1978 Rev by WORMSLEY, KG | Publisher information including publisher's name, city, state and country (when applicable), year of publication, book price, num- ber of pages and chapters (when given), series title and series number |
| | COPPI, B/STRINGER, T/POZZOLI, R/SINDONI, E THEORY OF MAGNETICALLY CONFINED PLASMAS Pergamon Press Ltd, Oxford, UK 1979 \$50.00 513pp PLASMA PHYS 21(6):491 1979 Rev by NASO, GR | |
| | DEGROOT,LD/CAHILL, CG/ODELL, WD ENDOCRINOLOGY Grune & Stratton, New York, NY 1979 \$85.50 854pp J CLIN END METAB 49(1):70 1979 Rev by HOFF, LM | |
| | DRUCKER COLIN, RR/MCGAUGH, JL NEUROBIOLOGY OF SLEEP AND MEMORY Academic, New York, NY 1977 \$19.50 456pp ARCH NEUROL 35(9): 184 1978 Rev by PETERS, BH | |
| Journal title and complete cita tion where book was reviewed | FRAGA, S/SAXENA, KM/TORRES, M BIOMOLECULAR INFORMATION THEORY Elsevier Scientific Publ Co Amsterdam, NETH 1978 \$48.75 272pp THEOR CHIMA ACTA 51(265):80 1979 Rev by PULLMAN, B | |
| Reviewer's name ("Rev by Anonymous" will be used for any book reviews without the reviewer, name) | GALLIN, JI/OUIE, PG LEUKOCYTE CHEMOTAXIS, METHODS, PHYSIOLOGY AND CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS Raven Press, New York, NY 1978 \$34 429pp AMESTHESIOLOGY 50(21:80 1979 Rev by GRAHAM, CW //MMUNOL COMMUN 71(6): 705 1978 Rev by PARK, BH | -Full book title and subtitle |
| | GILL, W/LONG, WB SHOCK TRAUMA MANUAL Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, MD 1979 \$18.00 283pp <i>SCI</i> 203(4387): 1333 1979 Rev by DONOHUE, L | |
| | KLEINHAUR, E MEMATOLOGY.PHYSIOLOGY, PATHOLOGY, CLINICAL(GR) Springer-Velag, Berlin, DBR 1978 \$49.00 608pp <i>IMMUNOGENETICS</i> 7(14) 377 1978 Rev by WERNET, D | |
| | KNOWLES, PF MAGNETIC RESONANCE OF BIOMOLECULES Wiley, New York, NY 1976 \$9.75 343pp PHYS MED BIOL 21(11) 1001 1976 Rev by FOSTER, MA HUTCHISON, JM | |
| | LONG, WB -SEE GILL, W | |
| | MARINETTI, GV LIPID CHROMATOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS 2d Ed. Dekker, New York, NY 1976 \$35 286pp <i>CLIN CHEM</i> 23110):153 1977 Rev by CORNATZER, WE J AM OIL CHEM SOC 541124):12 1977 Rev by PELICK, N | |
| | MYRIANTHOPOULOS, NC/BERGSMA, D RECENT ADVANCES IN THE DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY OF CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM MALFORMATION Birth Defects: Original Article Series, Vol. 15, No. 3 Alan R. Liss Inc., New York, NY 1979 \$16.00 130pp MED JAUSTRALIA 1(7):275 1979 Rev by WALPOLE, P SCI 150(2040):621 1977 Rev by GREEN, MM | |
| | RICHTERICH, R/COLOMBO, JP CLINICAL CHEMISTRY (GR) Karger, Bale, SWIT 1978 DM 98 620pp ANN BIOL CLIN PARIS 37(1) 75 1979(FR) Rev by BOHUON, R | ←Language indicator of book ←Language indicator of review |

Figure 2: Some sample entries from the Permuterm⁺ Subject Index for the Index to Book Reviews in the SciencesTM.

| | Primary Terms | Authors' Names |
|----------------------|---|--|
| | AMINO ACIDS | |
| | CHEMICAL | FONNUM F -GAFFNEY JS - SAVIGE WE |
| Coterms | CHEMISTRY | GROSS E LARSEN PO MARSHALL GR |
| | TRANSMITTER | BERGER SJ DEBELLER JS FONNUM F GODFREY DA |
| | TRANSPORT | BENDEROF S BIEGER W CHEN CS CROCKETT ME |
| HEMATOLOGY | | |
| | CLINICAL NUTRITION PATHOLOGY PHYSIOLOGY PLASMA TISSUES | KLEINHAUR E SCHMIDT P KLEINHAUR E RICO AG |
| INFORMATION - THEORY | | |
| | BIOMOLECULAR ESTIMATES MEASURE STRUCTURES | FRAGA S IZOKH VV TANEEJA IJ SIMONOV PV |
| | MICROCIRCULATION | J |
| | ACTION BLOODFLOW | KESSLER M GUTH PH POWERS EW |
| | DIABETES GASTRIC PATIENTS | DAVIS E WHITTLE BJR KESSLER M |

use budgets more wisely by allowing them to be more selective. *IBRS* will also prove useful to scientists, scholars, and students. As Wynne confirms, people who may seek book reviews include "those entering an area of research new to them; professors teaching a course, especially for the first time; students desiring supplementary reading on a topic; and individuals who are doing independent study for whatever reason."⁴

Subscribers to the SCI may ask why book review information is not included as it is with the SSCI. At the present time we do not feel that the cost of processing book review information in the sciences should be carried by the SCI subscribers alone. Many librarians who do not now use the SCI will find IBRS within their budgets. And, besides, I suspect that most SCI subscribers will prefer to have this information available separately in the beginning. Later on, we can consider the possibility of relevant entries in the SCI, for example, in treating the reviewer as a source author.

In the past, finding book reviews in the sciences was pretty much hit or miss. *IBRS* should change all that. While we don't expect many individuals to subscribe at \$250 a year. *IBRS* is well within the budget of most university and research libraries.

6.442

REFERENCES

- Paul S K & Nemeyer C A. Book marketing and selection: selected findings from the current AAP/ALA study. Publ. Weekly 207(24):42-5, 1975.
- 2. Chen C C. Biomedical, scientific & technical book reviewing.
- Metuchen, NJ: Scarecrow Press, 1976, 186 p.
- Young A P. Scholarly book reviewing in America. Libri 25(3):174-82, 1975.
- 4. Wynne A. A computer-based index to book reviews in the physics literature.
- Special Lib. 104:135-9, 1979.
- Atwood R. An index to medical book reviews: a computer experiment. Bull. Med. Libr. Ass. 55:66-9, 1967.
- 6. Broadus R N. Selecting materials for libraries, New York: H. W. Wilson Co., 1973. 342 p.
- 7. Chen C C & Wright A M. Current status of biomedical book reviewing: Part II. Time lag in biomedical book reviewing.

Bull. Med. Libr. Ass. 62:113-9, 1974.