



any research field.

While I believe most readers will still continue to browse through *Current Contents*, scanning their favorite journals pages, the availability of the *Weekly Subject Index* should undoubtedly attract many new readers who in the past have not been able to tolerate the contents-page scanning method of maintaining current awareness. And it should also alleviate to some extent the discomfort of other readers--and I recognize that there are not a few--who have found contents-page scanning tolerable only because absolutely necessary. But as the saying goes, *chacun à son gout*.

The availability of the *WSI* will, in my opinion, also alleviate my anxiety, and that of many readers, about the never-ending necessity for addition of

journals to *Current Contents*, since it is fairly obvious that *Current Contents* has reached a point in its growth where some aid to scanning was becoming absolutely essential.

Undoubtedly librarians everywhere will now have to take a fresh look at *Current Contents* as a bibliographical tool in its own right. Till now, I believe, *Current Contents* has served in libraries primarily as a method of telling the reader what was available in the latest journal issues. Now librarians, and others of course, can use the subject index to complete any retrospective search, and especially to satisfy requests for "one or two current articles" on this or that subject, in other words for those so-called "quick and dirty" searches which so frequently turn out to be neither very quick nor especially dirty.